

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,875 12/14/2001		12/14/2001	Douglas B. Quine	F-438	7735	
919	7590	02/02/2005		EXAMINER		
PITNEY B	OWES I	NC.	WALSH,	WALSH, JOHN B		
35 WATER	VIEW DR	LIVE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
P.O. BOX 3	000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MSC 26-22			2151			
SHELTON,	CT 064	84-8000	DATE MAILED: 02/02/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
			,875	QUINE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit				
	·	John B.	Walsh	2151				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nicati n app ars on	the cover she t with	the corr spondence add	ress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl pely received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this con DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
· —	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	he Examiner.		•				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or	b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to the control of th	=		•				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[_ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office actions.	y documents have by documents have be of the priority docu	een received. een received in App ments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)		•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			nmary (PTO-413)				
3) M Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/11/02 & 11/25/02</u> .			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/016,875

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the records being different than the identifier portion". It is unclear how and to what degree the records are "different" than the identifier portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. The recited steps of the method are something that can be done by a person as a mental step or using pencil and paper. A person could ask someone to review the e-mail address, wherein they would identify portions of the email, compare it to a record, which may be an address book, and

indicate to the sender of the message what they find and may make suggested address corrections. Furthermore, the method does not require the use of hardware to accomplish the steps and is not tangible.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,839,738. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent discloses identifying, comparing, parsing and sending a suggested correction for the incorrect/undeliverable message address.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. As best understood, claims 1, 4, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,427,164 to Reilly.

As concerns claim 1, a method for directing an undeliverable e-mail message to a correct recipient, the e-mail message having an email address, the method comprising: identifying a domain in the e-mail address (column 10, lines 20-24); identifying an identifier portion of the e-mail address (column 10, lines 20-24); comparing (column 10, lines 20-24) the identifier portion to records for predetermined e-mail recipients associated with the domain to determine whether the identifier portion is consistent with the record of any of the predetermined e-mail recipients, the records being different than the identifier portion (column 10, line 24); and if the identifier portion is consistent with the record for a first e-mail recipient associated with the domain, indicating to a sender of the e-mail message that the first e-mail recipient has been found (if identifier is consistent then the address is correct and will be sent, wherein the sender will receive indication that the recipient has been found by not receiving an "address not found" message).

As concerns claim 4, a method for directing an undeliverable e-mail message to a correct recipient, the e-mail message having an e-mail address, the method comprising: associating a plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses with corresponding forwarding e-mail addresses (column 10, lines 25-29); comparing (column 10, lines 25-29) the e-mail address to the plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses; and if the e-mail address matches one of the plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses, forwarding at least a portion of the e-mail message to a corresponding forwarding

e-mail address (column 10, lines 30-33); and if the e-mail address does not match one of the plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses, then identifying a domain in the e-mail address (column 10, lines 20-24); identifying an identifier portion of the e-mail address (column 10, lines 20-24); comparing (column 10, lines 20-24) the identifier portion to records for predetermined e mail recipients associated with the domain to determine whether the identifier portion is consistent with the record of any of the predetermined e-mail recipients; and if the identifier portion is consistent with the record for a first email recipient associated with the domain, indicating to a sender of the email message that the first e-mail recipient has been found (if identifier is consistent then the address is correct and will be sent, wherein the sender will receive indication that the recipient has been found by not receiving an "address not found" message).

As concerns claim 7, a method for directing an undeliverable e-mail message to a correct recipient, the e-mail message having an e-mail address, the method comprising: identifying a domain in the e-mail address (column 10, lines 20-24); receiving recipient information regarding the correct recipient to whom the message is intended at the e-mail address, recipient information being other than an identifier portion of the e-mail address (column 10, lines 20-24); comparing (column 10, lines 20-24) the recipient information to a list of predetermined e-mail recipients associated with the domain portion to determine if the recipient information matches any of the predetermined e-mail recipients for the domain; indicating to a sender of the e-mail message that the correct recipient has been identified at the domain (if identifier is consistent then the address is correct and will be sent, wherein the sender will receive indication that the recipient has been found by not receiving an "address not found" message).

As concerns claim 9, the method of claim 7 wherein the recipient information is the name of the correct recipient (column 3, lines 33-35).

9. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,694,353 to Sommerer.

As concerns claim 1, a method for directing an undeliverable e-mail message to a correct recipient, the e-mail message having an email address, the method comprising: identifying a domain in the e-mail address (identified when received at mail server); identifying an identifier portion of the e-mail address (identified when received at mail server); comparing the identifier portion to records for predetermined e-mail recipients associated with the domain to determine whether the identifier portion is consistent with the record of any of the predetermined e-mail recipients, the records being different than the identifier portion (column 4, lines 30-32); and if the identifier portion is consistent with the record for a first e-mail recipient associated with the domain, indicating to a sender of the e-mail message that the first e-mail recipient has been found (column 4, line 26, will receive indication that the recipient has been found by not receiving an "address not found" message).

As concerns claim 2, performing e-mail address correction on the e-mail address to determine a suggested corrected e-mail address (figure 2); and providing the suggested corrected e-mail address to a sender of the e-mail message (figure 2).

As concerns claim 3, sending a forward confirmation request to the sender of the e-mail message, indicating that the e-mail message will be forwarded to the first e-mail recipient (figure 3, allow user option of setting the other email address as the default address for the contact);

Application/Control Number: 10/016,875

Art Unit: 2151

forwarding the e-mail message to the first e-mail recipient when a forwarding confirmation authorization is received from the sender of the e-mail message (user then sends the message).

As concerns claim 4, a method for directing an undeliverable e-mail message to a correct recipient, the e-mail message having an e-mail address, the method comprising: associating a plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses with corresponding forwarding e-mail addresses (figure 4, check status of destination email in the database); comparing (figure 4; check status of destination email in the database) the e-mail address to the plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses; and if the e-mail address matches one of the plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses, forwarding (figure 4, check for other email addresses) at least a portion of the e-mail message to a corresponding forwarding e-mail address; and if the e-mail address does not match one of the plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses, then identifying a domain in the e-mail address (identified when received at mail server); identifying an identifier portion of the e-mail address (identified when received at mail server); comparing (compared when received at mail server) the identifier portion to records for predetermined e mail recipients associated with the domain to determine whether the identifier portion is consistent with the record of any of the predetermined e-mail recipients; and if the identifier portion is consistent with the record for a first email recipient associated with the domain, indicating to a sender of the email message that the first e-mail recipient has been found (column 4, line 26, will receive indication that the recipient has been found by not receiving an "address not found" message).

As concerns claims 5 and 11, the method of claim 4 wherein if the e-mail address does not match one of the plurality of disfavored e-mail addresses and if the identifier portion is not consistent with the record for an e-mail recipient, then the method further including: performing e-

mail address correction on the e-mail address to determine a suggested corrected e-mail address (figure 4, allow user the option of changing destination); and providing the suggested corrected e-mail address to a sender of the e-mail message (figure 2).

As concerns claim 6, the method as recited in claim 4, wherein if the identifier portion is consistent with the record for a first e-mail recipient associated with the domain, further including the steps of sending a forward confirmation request, indicating that the e-mail message will be forwarded to the first e-mail recipient, to the sender of the e-mail message (figure 3, allow user option of setting the other email address as the default address for the contact); and forwarding the e-mail message to the first e-mail recipient when a forwarding confirmation authorization is received from the sender of the e-mail message (authorized by user sending the message).

As concerns claim 7, a method for directing an undeliverable e-mail message to a correct recipient, the e-mail message having an e-mail address, the method comprising: identifying a domain in the e-mail address, receiving recipient information regarding the correct recipient to whom the message is intended at the e-mail address, recipient information being other than an identifier portion of the e-mail address (identified when received at mail server); comparing the recipient information to a list of predetermined e-mail recipients associated with the domain portion to determine if the recipient information matches any of the predetermined e-mail recipients for the domain (compared at mail server); indicating to a sender of the e-mail message that the correct recipient has been identified at the domain (column 4, line 26, will receive indication that the recipient has been found by not receiving an "address not found" message).

As concerns claim 8, the method as recited in claim 7 further including the steps of: sending a forward confirmation request, indicating that the e-mail message will be forwarded to the correct recipient, to the sender of the e-mail message (figure 3; allow user option of setting the other email address as the default address for the contact); and forwarding the e-mail message to the correct recipient when a forwarding confirmation authorization is received from the sender of the e-mail message (authorized by user sending the message).

As concerns claim 9, the method of claim 7 wherein the recipient information is the name of the correct recipient (contact information).

As concerns claim 10, the method of claim 7 wherein the step of receiving recipient information includes deriving the recipient information from content of the e-mail message (derived from message tags encoded with the message).

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John B. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-7063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,875 Page 10

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John B. Walsh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2151